

1 **Survival of clinical and food *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates exposed to different stress**  
2 **conditions**

3  
4 Ana Campos, Maria Sequeira Lopes, Ana Carvalheira, Joana Barbosa, Paula Teixeira\*

5  
6 Universidade Católica Portuguesa, CBQF - Centro de Biotecnologia e Química Fina –  
7 Laboratório Associado, Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Rua Arquiteto Lobão Vital, 172,  
8 4200-374 Porto, Portugal

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +351 22 5580001; fax: +351 22 5090351.

30 *E-mail* address: pteixeira@porto.ucp.pt (P. Teixeira)

31 **Abstract**

32 *Acinetobacter baumannii* is recognized as one of the most important agents of nosocomial  
33 infections. Other species such as *Acinetobacter lwoffii* have also been associated with such  
34 infections. These species can be found in food products, such as vegetables, fruits and meats  
35 which can be a source of transmission of these organisms to community and hospital settings.  
36 Evidence that hospitals' kitchens are a route of entry of pathogenic and antimicrobial-resistant  
37 bacteria was recently demonstrated. This study aimed to determine whether different  
38 *Acinetobacter* spp. isolated from human and food samples (lettuce, turkey meat, apple and  
39 pear) were resistant to stress conditions often applied in food processes, such as exposure to  
40 60 °C, AMUKINA® and vinegar. Also the influence of food matrices on the behavior of  
41 isolates to these stress conditions was evaluated. Treatment with AMUKINA® and vinegar  
42 were effective against all clinical and food isolates. Exposure to 60 °C resulted in the  
43 reduction of the majority of isolates to values below the detection limit of the enumeration  
44 technique, however, it is important to note that most of the reductions only occurred after 30  
45 minutes of exposure. One food isolate identified as *A. baumannii* was resistant to this thermal  
46 treatment and one clinical isolate only decreased 4 log cycles after 1h. In general, food  
47 isolates were demonstrated to be more resistant than clinical isolates and no significant  
48 differences ( $p>0.05$ ) were found between *A. baumannii* and *A. lwoffii* species. With the  
49 exception of one food isolate that was more resistant to thermal stress in the presence of  
50 turkey meat, the food matrices investigated did not confer protection to the applied stresses.  
51 Due to the limited knowledge on this topic, we believe that this study is an important  
52 contribution to understanding the behavior of *Acinetobacter* spp. when exposed to treatments  
53 commonly applied to foods.

54 **Keywords:** *Acinetobacter baumannii*; *Acinetobacter lwoffii*; AMUKINA®; food matrices;  
55 thermal stress; vinegar.

56

57 **1. Introduction**

58 *Acinetobacter* spp. are commonly found in water and soil, but can also be found in  
59 contaminated areas, sewage, dumpsites, food and animals (Atrouni et al., 2016). The majority  
60 of the *Acinetobacter* species are isolated from meat and vegetables, but they can also be found  
61 in several types of foods such as fruits, cheese, milk, fish, shrimps, water and rice (Berlau et  
62 al., 1999; Carvalheira et al., 2017a, 2017b).

63 Members of the *Acinetobacter baumannii* group (*A. baumannii*, *A. nosocomialis*, *A. pittii*, and  
64 *A. seifertii*) are major agents of nosocomial infections (Madigan et al., 2009). Other species  
65 non-*A. baumannii*, such as *A. lwoffii*, have been also sporadically been causative agents in  
66 such infections (Turton et al., 2010). Cases of bacteremia caused by *Acinetobacter* spp. have  
67 been increasingly reported in hospital settings (Chen et al., 2002, 2015).

68 When introduced into the hospital environment, either via the food from the hospital's  
69 kitchens or by the food, mainly fruits, that the visitors offer to the patients (a common practice  
70 in Portugal), contaminated foods could be a vehicle for the dissemination of these organisms  
71 into hospital settings. Being resistant to desiccation, *A. baumannii* may persist, becoming  
72 resident in the hospital environment (Jawad et al., 1998). According to Lazarević et al.  
73 (2013), *Acinetobacter* spp. was one of the most commonly isolated bacteria from hospital  
74 kitchens with poor systems of sanitation.

75 As previously mentioned, although the presence of *Acinetobacter* spp. in different foods  
76 (Berlau et al., 1999; Carvalheira et al., 2017a, 2017b) has been demonstrated, there is still no  
77 evidence that these microorganisms are foodborne pathogens (Amorim and Nascimento,  
78 2017). Moreover, the few studies reporting the association of *Acinetobacter* spp. with  
79 foodborne illnesses are merely related with individuals in the risk groups (Grotiuz et al., 2006;  
80 Polanco and Manzi, 2008).

81 Good hygiene and handling practices associated with food processing as well as disinfection  
82 of ready-to-eat products, such as vegetables and fruits, are very important in order to avoid  
83 and/or reduce contamination (Feás et al., 2014). A number of methods to disinfect food are  
84 well known. Vinegars, or acetic acid solutions, are commonly used to disinfect fruits and  
85 vegetables. Several authors mentioned that treatments with vinegar protect these foods from  
86 foodborne pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Listeria*  
87 *monocytogenes* (Rhee et al., 2003, Wu et al., 2000). Commercial chlorine-based products  
88 (mainly sodium hypochlorite) are available and used for the same purpose (Feás et al., 2014).  
89 AMUKINA® (Angelini) can be used to disinfect fruits and vegetables, but several reports  
90 have questioned its efficacy (Bachmann and Earles, 2000; Hinenoya et al., 2015). In other  
91 types of food matrices, such as raw meats, the methods applied are quite different. Since pre-  
92 history high temperatures (e.g. cooking), are used to prepare and preserve foods. The  
93 recommended temperatures to completely destroy bacteria range from 60 °C to 74 °C (Taché  
94 and Carpentier, 2013).

95 The aims of this study were to evaluate: i) if *A. baumannii* and *A. lwoffii* isolates, recovered  
96 from human and food samples, were susceptible to thermal processing methods, such as  
97 exposure to 60 °C, and to disinfection methods of vegetables and fruits, such as exposure to  
98 AMUKINA® and vinegar, and ii) the influence of food matrices on the behavior of isolates to  
99 these stress conditions. Since these microorganisms could be found in foods it is extremely  
100 important to know more about the efficacy of these methods on their elimination in order to  
101 ensure that these foods cannot be a vehicle of new contamination.

102

## 103 **2. Materials and methods**

104

### 105 **2.1 Origin and growth conditions of isolates**

106 Eleven isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp. deposited in the culture collection of CBQF - *Escola*  
107 *Superior de Biotecnologia* (Table 1) were selected: five recovered from human samples,  
108 kindly supplied by *Hospital de S. Marcos* (Braga, Portugal); and six recovered from food  
109 products (Carvalheira et al. 2017a, 2017b). Isolates were stored at -80 °C in Tryptic Soy Broth  
110 (TSB, Pronadisa, Madrid, Spain) with 30% (v/v) of glycerol (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany),  
111 and sub-cultured twice before use in TSB at 30 °C for 24 h.

112

## 113 **2.2 Survival of different stress conditions**

### 114 **2.2.1 Inoculum**

115 One colony from TSA incubated at 30 °C for 24 h, was transferred to TSB and incubated in  
116 the same conditions. To prepare the final inoculum, the last culture was transferred to fresh  
117 TSB (1:10) and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation (7000 rpm,  
118 10 min; Rotina 35R, Hettich, Germany) and re-suspended in the same volume of sterile  
119 quarter strength Ringer's solution (Lab M, Lancashire, United Kingdom) in order to obtain a  
120 final level of  $10^5$ - $10^6$  colony forming units (CFU)/ml. Some strains had a low growth  
121 capacity, whereby the inoculum obtained had a final level of  $10^3$ - $10^4$  CFU/ml.

122

### 123 **2.2.2 Simulated conditions of stress**

124 Three stress conditions were tested by exposing the isolates to 60 °C and to the presence of  
125 vinegar and AMUKINA® (Angelini, Rome, Italy). Aliquots of 0.5 ml of the inoculum  
126 (prepared as described above) were placed into glass flasks with i) 49.5 ml of sterile distilled  
127 water and kept at 60 °C for thermal stress, ii) 42 ml of sterile distilled water and 7.5 ml of  
128 vinegar (15% (v/v)) for acidic stress and iii) 48.5 ml of sterile distilled water and 1 ml of  
129 AMUKINA® (1% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite, according to the product instructions) for  
130 chemical stress. Glass flasks containing cells exposed to the chemical stress conditions were

131 placed at  $25\pm 5$  °C. All the samples were taken at time 0 (time of inoculation) and during 15  
132 minutes (for acidic stress with AMUKINA®) or during one hour (for other stresses). For each  
133 experiment an aliquot of 0.5 ml of inoculum was placed into glass flasks with 49.5 ml of  
134 sterile distilled water at 30 °C and used as control, to ensure that the effect on survival was  
135 due to the different conditions applied. Each experiment was performed in duplicate.

136

### 137 **2.2.3 Simulated conditions of stress in the presence of food matrices**

138 Survival of the isolates to the stress conditions was also evaluated in the presence of food  
139 matrices: acidic and chemical stresses were in the presence of lettuce, pear and apple and  
140 thermal stress only for turkey meat. Moreover, the behavior of clinical isolates was tested in  
141 the presence of all food matrices, whereas each food isolate was only studied in the presence  
142 of their food source matrix (Table 1).

143 The food matrices lettuce, pear and apple were superficially disinfected according to  
144 Carvalho et al. (2017b), while turkey meat was triturated and autoclaved.

145 For the food matrices lettuce, pear and apple, 0.5 ml of each inoculum (prepared as described  
146 above) was mixed with 5 g of each disinfected food matrix and, after 24 hours of contact in  
147 refrigerated conditions (lettuce) or room temperature (fruits), the different stresses - acidic and  
148 chemical - were applied as previously described. For turkey meat, 0.5 ml of each inoculum  
149 was mixed with 5 g of this matrix and, after 10 minutes of contact at room temperature  
150 (Barbosa et al., 2014), the thermal stress was applied as described above. As controls, 0.5 ml  
151 of each inoculum was mixed with each particular matrix in glass flasks and, after the  
152 appropriate time of contact, 49.5 ml of sterile water was added and, while were kept at  $25\pm 5$   
153 °C, all the samples were taken at the same times for each stress condition. To guarantee the  
154 efficient disinfection of fruit and lettuce, the same was done, but without the addition of  
155 inoculum.

156 Each experiment was done in duplicate.

157

### 158 **2.3 Enumeration**

159 Each sample was diluted in sterile quarter strength Ringer's solution and plated on TSA, in  
160 duplicate, by the drop count technique (Miles and Misra, 1938). After incubation at 30 °C for  
161 24 h, the colonies were counted and the CFU/ml calculated.

162

### 163 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

164 An analysis of variance was carried out to test the effect of each stress condition on the  
165 survival of different *Acinetobacter* isolates as well as any significant effect of the different  
166 food matrices used.

167 Microbial counts were transformed to logarithmic reduction using the equation:  $\log(N/N_0)$ ,  
168 where N is the microbial cell count at a particular sampling time and  $N_0$  is the initial cell  
169 density. Two independent assays were carried out.

170 Multiple comparisons were evaluated by Tukey's post-hoc test and all analyses were  
171 performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, 24 (IBM Corporation, USA). The mean difference was  
172 considered significant at the 0.05 level.

173

## 174 **3. Results and discussion**

175 The choice of isolates was made according to their origin - would the clinical *Acinetobacter*  
176 spp. isolates be more resistant than food isolates? – and also to their species – would be *A.*  
177 *baumannii* more resistant than *A. lwoffii*? Consequently, stress conditions were chosen taking  
178 into account the origin of food isolates: i) temperature of 60 °C due to the existence of turkey  
179 meat isolates, simulating an inappropriate temperature of cooking (what happens inside a  
180 hamburger, for example) and by the existence of food isolates from lettuce, apple and pear ii)

181 the treatment with AMUKINA®, which is a product useful for the disinfection of fruits and  
182 vegetables and, according to the manufacturer, is able to eliminate bacteria and germs and iii)  
183 the treatment with vinegar, which, besides being commonly used in salad dressings, it is also  
184 used for the disinfection of fruit and vegetables.

185 To our knowledge, this is the first study about the behavior of *Acinetobacter* spp. to various  
186 stress conditions to which foods can be exposed in order to reduce/eliminate microbial  
187 contamination. *Acinetobacter* spp. are found in foods (Berlau et al., 1999; Carvalheira et al.,  
188 2017a; 2017b). If they are resistant to treatments commonly used to eliminate bacterial  
189 contaminants from foods, e.g. high temperatures, washing/disinfection, contaminated  
190 products may be a vehicle of dissemination of these organisms.

191 The survival of clinical and food isolates (Arabic numbers), respectively, to each stress  
192 condition (capital letters) in the absence of the food matrix is shown in figures 1 and 2.

193 Thermal stress was not effective for all the isolates tested. Clinical isolates (Fig. 1), were  
194 reduced to values below the detection limit of the enumeration technique between 30 and 45  
195 minutes of exposure (Fig. 1; graphs B1 to B4), with the exception of isolate A105 (Fig. 1;  
196 graph B5), which was only reduced by 4 log cycles after 60 minutes. Among food isolates  
197 (Fig. 2), despite three of the six isolates (Fig. 2; graphs B3, B4 and B5) being more sensitive  
198 to 60 °C than clinical isolates, isolate 133.2 (Fig. 2; graph 6) took 60 minutes to be reduced by  
199 5 logs and food isolate 17.3 (Fig. 2; graph B1) was able to resist the full 60 minutes with  
200 logarithmic reductions of less than 0.5 log units. Monu et al. (2015) described the efficacy of  
201 thermal treatment at 60 °C against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium with  
202 D-values between 0.16 and 0.66 minutes. Nonetheless, exposure to 60 °C was not sufficient to  
203 eliminate all of the food *Acinetobacter* isolates tested.

204 Concerning the exposure to vinegar, all isolates were sensitive and similar reductions were  
205 observed among clinical and food isolates ( $p>0.05$ ). In fact, sensitive clinical isolates were



206 totally reduced to undetectable values after 30 seconds to 10 minutes (Fig. 1; graphs C1 to  
207 C5), while sensitive food isolates were reduced after 5 to 10 minutes (Fig. 2; C1 to C6).  
208 Besides the lack of information about the effect of vinegar on *Acinetobacter* spp., Ramos et  
209 al. (2014) found that 15% (v/v) white wine vinegar (the same proportion used in this study)  
210 had a bactericidal effect against *L. monocytogenes* isolated from lettuce.

211 Treatment with AMUKINA® was also effective for all isolates, allowing reductions to values  
212 below the detection limit of the enumeration technique after 30 seconds for both clinical (Fig.  
213 1; graphs D1 to D5) and food isolates (Fig. 2; graphs D1 to D6). In the study of Karumathil et  
214 al. (2014), the authors tested the effect of five free chlorine concentrations (0.2, 1, 2, 3 and 4  
215 ppm) separately on the survival of 8 clinical multidrug resistant *A. baumannii* during 30,  
216 60, 90 and 120 seconds. The authors found that all isolates survived the tested conditions and,  
217 in addition, a possible chlorine-associated induction of antibiotic resistance was observed  
218 (Karumathil et al., 2014).

219

220 Comparing the survival after exposure to vinegar and AMUKINA®, despite both being  
221 effective, AMUKINA® eliminated *Acinetobacter* isolates more rapidly.

222

223 No relation was found between species and origin of isolation ( $p>0.05$ ). Survival of the  
224 isolates to each stress condition was also evaluated in the presence of food matrices.

225

226 The effect of turkey meat matrix on the survival of all clinical isolates and two food isolates at  
227 60 °C is shown in Figure 3. With the exception of one food isolate identified as *A. Iwoffii*  
228 (133.2), which was reduced by 2 log cycles after 60 minutes (Fig. 3, graph 6) against 5 log  
229 reduction obtained in the same period of time in the absence of the food matrix (Fig. 2, graph

230 6), in general, this matrix did not confer any protection in the survival of the isolates to this  
231 thermal treatment.

232 Among clinical isolates (Fig. 3, graphs B1 to B5), no significant differences were obtained in  
233 their survival ( $p>0.05$ ). The reductions were below the detection limit of the enumeration  
234 technique and occurred earlier than with the absence of turkey meat matrix (Fig. 1). Despite  
235 sensitive, reductions below detectable values of clinical isolate A105 (Fig. 3, graph 5) were  
236 verified only after 60 minutes. For the two food isolates, both originating from this meat  
237 matrix, only 133.2 (Fig. 3, graph B7) was able to resist this thermal stress after incorporation  
238 in that food matrix. Although the negative effects conferred by the treatment with high  
239 temperatures leading to nutrient losses (Durek et al., 2014), it was expected that meat could  
240 protect isolates because it is a matrix rich in fat, proteins and minerals (DeGeer et al., 2016).  
241 However, other authors had mentioned that microorganisms inoculated into different meats  
242 did not survive high temperatures (Gurman et al., 2016; Juneja et al., 2001). Juneja et al.  
243 (2001) found that different *Salmonella* spp. in chicken broth rapidly exhibited logarithmic  
244 reductions at 60 °C, with D-values from 0.83 to 1.02 minutes.

245 Once again, it is important to highlight that exposure to 60 °C was not sufficient to eliminate  
246 all of the food *Acinetobacter* isolates tested and, more importantly, consumers do not cook the  
247 meat for 1 h, much less if they grill a turkey steak. This means that viable *Acinetobacter* spp.  
248 present in meat products may be ingested by consumers.

249

250 The survival of isolates to vinegar and AMUKINA® exposure was also tested in the presence  
251 of pear, apple and lettuce matrices.

252 Survival of all clinical isolates and one food isolate after vinegar and AMUKINA® exposure  
253 in the presence of pear matrix is presented in Figure 4.

254 For the treatment with vinegar (Fig. 4; graphs B1 to B6) none of the isolates survived, as had  
255 occurred in the absence of any matrix. Two clinical isolates not exposed to a food matrix - A8  
256 and A46, which were reduced to undetectable values before and after 5 minutes, respectively  
257 (Fig 1, graphs B1 and B4), in the presence of pear matrix their reductions to undetectable  
258 values were obtained only after 10 minutes. However, those differences were not significant  
259 ( $p>0.05$ ).

260 All isolates were also sensitive to the treatment with AMUKINA® (Fig. 4; graphs C1 to C6),  
261 presenting the same behavior without matrix, with reductions to values below the detection  
262 limit of the enumeration technique after 30 seconds. So, pear matrix did not confer any  
263 protection, probably due to its composition, which could act as an additional stress.

264 Concerning the effect of apple matrix, it was observed that the food isolate 103.2, isolated  
265 from apple, was not able to survive after exposure of vinegar and AMUKINA® in the  
266 presence of this matrix (data not shown). Apparently, the acidic stress conferred by the matrix  
267 followed by acidic stresses applied resulted in a lethal condition. Raybaudi-Massilia et al.  
268 (2009) had already demonstrated the antimicrobial proprieties of apple juice with the  
269 inhibition of growth of *L. monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *E. coli* O157:H7 when  
270 stored at 20 °C and 35 °C in apple juice.

271 The survival of all clinical isolates and two food isolates in the presence of lettuce matrix after  
272 vinegar and AMUKINA® exposure is shown in Figure 5. The behavior of isolates treated  
273 with vinegar (Fig. 5, graphs B1 to B7) in the presence of lettuce matrix was the same as in the  
274 absence of the matrix, since all isolates were reduced to undetectable values ( $p>0.05$ ).

275 Survival of all isolates was also affected by the treatment with AMUKINA® (Fig 5; graphs  
276 C1 to C7), as previously observed without food matrix (Fig 1; graphs D1 to D5 and Fig 2;  
277 graphs D1 to D6). This means that also lettuce did not confer any protection.

278

279 The treatment with vinegar and AMUKINA® was demonstrated to be a good way to disinfect  
280 fruit and lettuce contaminated with *Acinetobacter* spp.. In the study of Gutiérrez-Alcántara et  
281 al. (2015), the authors used acetic acid to disinfect two types of tomatoes contaminated with  
282 *S. Typhimurium* and *Salmonella* Typhi, and also observed logarithmic reduction, but near 1  
283 log cycle. Besides the different composition of the vegetable matrix used, the authors just  
284 used 0.5% (v/v) of acetic acid (Gutiérrez-Alcántara et al., 2015). In the same study,  
285 logarithmic reductions of the same order of magnitude were obtained after the use of 10%  
286 (v/v) sodium hypochlorite solution as disinfectant (Gutiérrez-Alcántara et al., 2015).  
287 However, 1% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite from AMUKINA® used in our study was effective  
288 against *Acinetobacter* spp.. Silveira et al. (2017) showed that washing lettuce with 200 mg/l  
289 sodium hypochlorite was effective against *Salmonella* Enteritidis. It would be interesting to  
290 test lower concentrations of AMUKINA® and confirm whether its ability to inhibit  
291 *Acinetobacter* isolates is maintained.

292 The lack of information about the behavior of *Acinetobacter* isolates through exposure to  
293 different stresses means that our results are relevant and could be a starting point to extend  
294 this type of study to other *Acinetobacter* isolates.

295

#### 296 **4. Conclusion**

297 Despite the small number of isolates tested in this study, exposure to vinegar and  
298 AMUKINA® was effective for the elimination of the *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates. Therefore,  
299 in order to avoid food products being a vehicle of transmission of these organisms,  
300 disinfection of ready-to-eat products such as vegetables and fruits could be done with vinegar  
301 during at least 15 min or AMUKINA® during at least 30 sec. Some *Acinetobacter* spp. can  
302 also be eliminated from food products such as meat by thermal processing, at 60 °C, however,

303 60 minutes may not be enough. One of the *Acinetobacter* food isolates was able to survive  
304 more than 60 minutes at this temperature.  
305 Moreover, any food matrix conferred protection to the survival of the isolates when the  
306 different stress conditions were applied, with exception of only one food isolate that was  
307 shown to be more resistant to the thermal stress in the presence of turkey meat matrix.  
308 Based on these results, it is important to validate the effect of these same stresses on other  
309 *Acinetobacter* strains. In terms of thermal stress, other temperatures and times should also be  
310 applied, as well as other concentrations of vinegar and AMUKINA® with other exposure  
311 times. Also studying the ability of *Acinetobacter* strains, resistant to these stresses, in  
312 surviving through the passage of the gastrointestinal tract would be a good starting point to  
313 understand if these microorganisms can reach the intestine and, perhaps, cause infection.

314

### 315 **Acknowledgments**

316 This work was supported by funding from the National Funds from the *Fundação para a*  
317 *Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) through project UID/Multi/50016/2013 and a post-doctoral  
318 fellowship SFRH/BPD/113303/2015 (author J. Barbosa). We are grateful to *Hospital S.*  
319 *Marcos*, in the person of Dr. Alberta Faustino, for the kindly supplying clinical *Acinetobacter*  
320 isolates.

321 Editing of this paper by Dr. P. A. Gibbs is gratefully acknowledged.

322

### 323 **References**

324 Amorim, A.M.B., Nascimento, J.S., 2017. *Acinetobacter*: an underrated foodborne pathogen?  
325 J. Infect. Dev. Ctries 11, 111-114.  
326 Atrouni, A., Guillou, M., Hamze, M., Kempf, M., 2016. Reservoirs of non-*baumannii*  
327 *Acinetobacter* species. Front. Microbiol 7, 49.

328 Bachmann, J., Earles, R., 2000. Postharvest handling of fruits and vegetables, Horticulture  
329 Technical Note. ATTRA 1-19.

330 Barbosa, J., Borges, S., Teixeira, P., 2014. Selection of potential probiotic *Enterococcus*  
331 *faecium* isolated from Portuguese fermented food. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 191, 144-148.

332 Berlau, J., Aucken, H., Houang, E., Pitt, T., 1999. Isolation of *Acinetobacter* spp. including *A.*  
333 *baumannii* from vegetables: implications for hospital-acquired infections. J. Hosp. Infect. 42,  
334 201-204.

335 Carvalho, A., Casquete, R., Silva, J., Teixeira, P., 2017a. Prevalence and antimicrobial  
336 susceptibility of *Acinetobacter* spp. isolated from meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 243, 58-63.

337 Carvalho, A., Silva, J., Teixeira, P., 2017b. Lettuce and fruits as a source of multidrug  
338 resistant *Acinetobacter* spp.. J. Food Microbiol. 64, 119-125.

339 Chen, C., Liao, S., Lin, L., Hwang, K., Young, T., 2002. Nosocomial *Acinetobacter baumannii*  
340 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteraemia: clinical characteristics and risk factor analysis  
341 compared. AICA 7, 12-18.

342 Chen, C., Lin, L., Chang, Y., Chen, Y., Chang, C., Huang, C., 2015. Infection control  
343 programs and antibiotic control programs to limit transmission of multi-drug resistant  
344 *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections: evolution of old problems and new challenges for  
345 institutes. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12, 8871-8882.

346 DeGeer, S., Wang, L., Hill, G., Singh, M., Bilgili, S., Bratcher, C., 2016. Optimizing  
347 application parameters for lactic acid and sodium metasilicate against pathogens on fresh  
348 beef, pork and deli meats. Meat Sci. 118, 28-33.

349 Durek, J., Ghadiri, A., Frohling, A., Schluter, O., Knorr, F., Mader, A., Goodarzi, F., Zentek,  
350 J., Knorr, D., Bolling, J., 2014. Effects of thermally treated broiler feed with different organic  
351 acid levels on resulting meat composition and parameters related to meat quality. Innov. Food  
352 Sci. Emerg. Technol. 26, 397-405.

353 Feás, X., Pacheco, L., Iglesias, A., Estevinho, L., 2014. Use of propolis in the sanitization of  
354 lettuce. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 12243-12257.

355 Grotiuz, G., Sirok, A., Gadea, P., Varela, G., Schelotto, F., 2006. Shiga toxin 2-producing  
356 *Acinetobacter haemolyticus* associated with a case of bloody diarrhea. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44,  
357 3838-3841.

358 Gutiérrez-Alcántara, E., Rangel-Vargas, E., Gómez-Aldapa, C., Falfan-Cortes, R., Rodríguez-  
359 Marín, M., Godínez-Oviedo, A., Cortes-López, H., Castro-Rosas, J., 2015. Antibacterial  
360 effect of roselle extracts (*Hibiscus sabadariffa*), sodium hypochlorite and acetic acid against  
361 multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* strains isolated from tomatoes. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 62, 177-  
362 184.

363 Gurman, P., Ross, T., Holds, G., Jarrett, R., Kiermeier, A., 2016. Thermal inactivation of  
364 *Salmonella* spp. in pork burger patties. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 219, 12-21.

365 Hinenoya, A., Awasthi, S., Yasuda, N., Shima, A., Morino, H., Koizumi, T., Fukuda, T.,  
366 Miura, T., Shibata, T., Yamasaki, S., 2015. Chlorine dioxide is a better disinfectant than  
367 sodium hypochlorite against multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas*  
368 *aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* 68, 276-279.

369 Jawad, A., Seifert, H., Snelling, A.M., Heritage, J., Hawkey, P.M., 1998. Survival of  
370 *Acinetobacter baumannii* on dry surfaces: comparison of outbreak and sporadic isolates. *J.*  
371 *Clin. Microbiol.* 36, 1938-1941.

372 Juneja, V., Eblen, B., Ransom, J., 2001. Thermal inactivation of *Salmonella* spp. in chicken  
373 broth, beef, pork, turkey, and chicken: determination of D- and Z-values. *J. Food Sci.* 66, 146-  
374 152.

375 Karumathil, D.P., Yin, H.-B., Kollanoor-Johny, A., Venkitanarayanan, K., 2014. Effect of  
376 chlorine exposure on the survival and antibiotic gene expression of multidrug resistant  
377 *Acinetobacter baumannii* in water. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 11, 1844-1854.

378 Lazarević, K., Stojanović, D., Bogdanović, D., Dolićanin, Z., 2013. Hygiene training of food  
379 handlers in hospital settings: important factor in the prevention of nosocomial infections. J.  
380 Public Health 21, 146-149.

381 Madigan, M., Martink, J., Danlap, P., Clark, D., 2009. Brock: biology of microorganisms,  
382 Pearson education, Inc.; 12th ed., pp. 400-418.

383 Miles, A., Misra, S., 1938. The estimation of the bactericidal power of blood. Int. J. Hyg.  
384 Environ. Health 38, 732-749.

385 Monu, E., Valladares, M., D'Souza, D., Davidson, M., 2015. Determination of the thermal  
386 inactivation kinetics of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Escherichia coli*  
387 O157:H7 and non-O157 in buffer and a spinach homogenate. J. Food Prot. 78, 1467-1471.

388 Polanco, N., Manzi, L., 2008. Toxigenic effect of *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolated from  
389 children with acute diarrhea. Invest. Clin. 49, 59-67.

390 Ramos, B., Brandão, T., Teixeira, P., Silva, C., 2014. Balsamic vinegar from Modena: An  
391 easy and effective approach to reduce *Listeria monocytogenes* from lettuce. Food control 42,  
392 38-42.

393 Raybaudi-Massilia, M., Mosqueda-Melgar, J., Martín-Belloso, O., 2009. Antimicrobial  
394 activity of malic acid against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Escherichia*  
395 *coli* O157:H7 in apple, pear and melon juices. Food Control 20, 105-112.

396 Rhee, M., Lee, S., Dougherty, R., Kang, D., 2003. Antimicrobial effects of mustard flour and  
397 acetic acid against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella*  
398 *enterica* Serovar Typhimurium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2959-2963.

399 Silveira, J., Hessel, C., Tondo, E., 2017. Inactivation of *Salmonella Enteritidis* on lettuces  
400 used by minimally processed vegetable industries. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries 11, 34-41.

401 Taché, J., Carpentier, B., 2013. Hygiene in the home kitchen: Changes in behaviour and  
402 impact of key microbiological hazard control measures. Food control 35, 392-400.



403 Turton, J., Shah, J., Ozongwu, C., Pike, R., 2010. Incidence of *Acinetobacter* species other  
404 than *A. baumannii* among clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter*: evidence for emerging species. J.  
405 Clin. Microbiol. 48, 1445-1449.

406 Wu, F., Doyle, M., Beuchat, L., Wells, J., Mintz, E., Swaminathan, B., 2000. Fate of *Shigella*  
407 *sonnei* on parsley and methods of disinfection. J. Food Prot. 63, 568-572.